

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,653	11/09/2001	Fernando Gonzalez	98095DIV4	8023
26285	6285 7590 07/18/2005		EXAMINER	
	RICK & LOCKHART	RICHARDS, N DREW		
535 SMITHFIELD STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15222			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TTTTSBOK	11115B0K011, 1A 15222			
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>f</b> ·						
<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/008,653	GONZALEZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	N. Drew Richards	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status .						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	ay 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,98-103,125,126 and 128</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,98-103,125,126 and 128</u> is/are rejection of the company of th	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 November 2001</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2815

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/10/05 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 17, 98-101, 103, 125, 126 and 128 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moravvej-Farshi et al. ("Novel Self-Aligned Polysilicon-Gate MOSFETs with Polysilicon Source and Drain," Solid-State Electronics, Vol. 30, No. 10, 1987, Pp. 1053-62) in view of Hong (U.S. Patent No. 5,534,447)

Moravvej-Farshi et al. disclose in figure 6 a raised drain structure (n+ poly), a raised source structure (n+ poly), a gate (n+ poly) located between the source and drain, a first capping layer (silicon dioxide on left half of figure) in communication with at least a portion of the gate and source, a first portion of a gate oxide region in

Art Unit: 2815

communication with at least a portion of the gate and source, a second capping layer (silicon dioxide on right half of figure) in communication with at least a portion of the gate and drain, and a second portion of a gate oxide region in communication with at least a portion of the gate and drain. Moravvej-Farshi et al. do not teach a first pocket implant junction located in the substrate assembly comprising a first high dose implant and defining a first low-resistance path wherein the first pocket implant junction is in communication with the source predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extends under a first portion of the gate. Nor does Moravvej-Farshi et al. teach a second pocket implant junction located in the substrate assembly comprising a second high dose implant and defining a second low-resistance path wherein the second pocket implant junction is in communication with the drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extends under a first portion of the gate.

Hong teaches a transistor formed on a substrate assembly in figure 2f, for example. Hong teach a source 260/280, a drain 260/280, polysilicon gate 240 between the source and drain, a gate oxide 230, and first and second pocket implant junctions 262. As seen in figure 2f (with the implant shown in figure 2e) pocket implant junctions are formed in the substrate assembly by first and second high dose implants, thus defining a low-resistance path, and the pocket implant junctions are in communication with the source and drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extend under a portion of the gate.

In combining the pocket implant junction of Hong with the raised source/drain structure of Moravvej-Farshi et al., the pocket implants would necessarily be formed in

Art Unit: 2815

the substrate along the edges (and partially underneath) of the gate. The pocket implants would also necessarily be formed in communication with the source and drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof since the source and drain of Moravvej-Farshi et al. are raised.

Also, it is noted that a highly doped region in silicon (whether highly doped n-type or p-type) defines a low-resistance path as the addition of the n- or p- type dopants is known to lower the resistance of the material.

Moravvej-Farshi et al. and Hong are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form a first and second pocket implant junction as claimed. The motivation for doing so is to prevent punchthrough between the source and drain. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Moravvej-Farshi et al. with Hong to obtain the invention of claim 17.

With regard to claim 98, the raised source is doped polysilicon.

With regard to claim 99, the raised drain is doped polysilicon.

With regard to claim 100, the gate is doped polysilicon.

With regard to claim 101, the source includes a plug.

With regard to claim 103, the gate includes a gate terminal as the entire gate structure is considered the gate terminal.

With regard to claim 125, Moravvej-Farshi et al. disclose in figure 6 a raised drain structure (n+ poly), a raised source structure (n+ poly), a gate (n+ poly) located between the source and drain, a first capping layer (silicon dioxide on left half of figure) in

Art Unit: 2815

communication with at least a portion of the gate and source, a first portion of a gate oxide region in communication with at least a portion of the gate and source, a first outidffusion area (shown with dashed lines) located in the substrate assembly and extending under a second portion of the source, a second capping layer (silicon dioxide on right half of figure) in communication with at least a portion of the gate and drain, a second portion of a gate oxide region in communication with at least a portion of the gate and drain, and a second outdiffusion area (dashed line beneath drain) located in the substrate assembly extending under a second portion of the drain. Moravvei-Farshi et al. do not teach a first pocket implant junction located in the substrate assembly comprising a first high dose implant and defining a first low-resistance path wherein the first pocket implant junction is in communication with the source predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extends under a first portion of the gate. Nor does Moravvej-Farshi et al. teach a second pocket implant junction located in the substrate assembly comprising a second high dose implant and defining a second low-resistance path wherein the second pocket implant junction is in communication with the drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extends under a first portion of the gate.

Hong teaches a transistor formed on a substrate assembly in figure 2f, for example. Hong teach a source 260/280, a drain 260/280, polysilicon gate 240 between the source and drain, a gate oxide 230, and first and second pocket implant junctions 262. As seen in figure 2f (with the implant shown in figure 2e) pocket implant junctions are formed in the substrate assembly by first and second high dose implants, thus

defining a low-resistance path, and the pocket implant junctions are in communication with the source and drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extend under a portion of the gate.

In combining the pocket implant junction of Hong with the raised source/drain structure of Moravvei-Farshi et al., the pocket implants would necessarily be formed in the substrate along the edges (and partially underneath) of the gate. The pocket implants would also necessarily be formed in communication with the source and drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof since the source and drain of Moravvej-Farshi et al. are raised.

Also, it is noted that a highly doped region in silicon (whether highly doped n-type or p-type) defines a low-resistance path as the addition of the n- or p- type dopants is known to lower the resistance of the material.

Moravvej-Farshi et al. and Hong are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form a first and second pocket implant junction as claimed. The motivation for doing so is to prevent punchthrough between the source and drain. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Moravvej-Farshi et al. with Hong to obtain the invention of claim 125.

With regard to claim 126, though Moravvej-Farshi et al. do not specifically teach forming the device of figure 6 as a P-channel device, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the device with opposite conductivity types than shown

to form a PMOS. In doing so and applying the teaching of Wolf et al. to suppress punchthrough effects it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the first and second pocket implant junctions with phosphorous. Wolf et al. teach doping with phosphorous in a PMOS device to form pocket implants on page 238, final paragraph.

With regard to claim 128, Moravvej-Farshi et al. disclose in figure 6 a raised drain structure (n+ poly), a raised source structure (n+ poly), a gate (n+ poly) located between the source and drain, a first capping layer (silicon dioxide on left half of figure) in communication with at least a portion of the gate and source, a first portion of a gate oxide region in communication with at least a portion of the gate and source, a second capping layer (silicon dioxide on right half of figure) in communication with at least a portion of the gate and drain, and a second portion of a gate oxide region in communication with at least a portion of the gate and drain. Moravvej-Farshi et al. do not teach a halo structure in the substrate assembly comprising a first pocket implant junction and a second pocket implant junction, first pocket implant junction comprising a first high dose implant in communication with the source predominantly along a nonsidewall portion thereof and extends under a first edge of the gate and the second pocket implant junction comprising a second high dose implant in communication with the drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extends under a second edge of the gate.

Hong teaches a transistor formed on a substrate assembly in figure 2f, for example. Hong teach a source 260/280, a drain 260/280, polysilicon gate 240 between Art Unit: 2815

the source and drain, a gate oxide 230, and first and second pocket implant junctions 262. As seen in figure 2f (with the implant shown in figure 2e) pocket implant junctions are formed in the substrate assembly by first and second high dose implants, thus defining a low-resistance path, and the pocket implant junctions are in communication with the source and drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof and extend under a portion of the gate.

In combining the pocket implant junction of Hong with the raised source/drain structure of Moravvej-Farshi et al., the pocket implants would necessarily be formed in the substrate along the edges (and partially underneath) of the gate. The pocket implants would also necessarily be formed in communication with the source and drain predominantly along a non-sidewall portion thereof since the source and drain of Moravvej-Farshi et al. are raised.

Also, it is noted that a highly doped region in silicon (whether highly doped n-type or p-type) defines a low-resistance path as the addition of the n- or p- type dopants is known to lower the resistance of the material.

Moravvej-Farshi et al. and Hong are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form a first and second pocket implant junction as claimed. The motivation for doing so is to prevent punchthrough between the source and drain. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Moravvej-Farshi et al. with Hong to obtain the invention of claim 17.

Art Unit: 2815

For arguments sake, if applicant argues that the pocket implant junction of Hong figure 2f, when combined with Moravvej-Farshi et al. is still not "predominantly" along a non-sidewall portion of the source and drain, the claims are still obvious when combined with Hong figure 1. It would have been obvious to combine Moravvej-Farshi et al. with figure 1 of Hong which provides the pocket implant junction along the entire bottom surface of the source and drain. In the device shown in Hong figure 1, the pocket implant junction is formed even more predominantly along a non-sidewall portion of the source and drain. It would have been obvious to use the pocket implant junction of Hong figure 1 to prevent punchthrough between the source and drain.

Page 9

4. Claim 102 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moravvej-Farshi et al. ("Novel Self-Aligned Polysilicon-Gate MOSFETs with Polysilicon Source and Drain," Solid-State Electronics, Vol. 30, No. 10, 1987, Pp. 1053-62) with Hong (U.S. Patent No. 5,534,447) as applied to claims 17, 98-101, 103, 125, 126 and 128 above in view of lio et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,130,482).

Moravvej-Farshi et al. teach a plug on the source but do not teach an adhesive layer included in the plug. The plug of Moravvej-Farshi et al. is taught as comprising aluminum and the source region is silicon. Iio et al. teach an aluminum plug in a contact hole where the aluminum plug contacts a silicon substrate (figure 3C, column 9 lines 38-46 and column 10 lines 35-50). Iio et al. teach forming a TiN adhesion/barrier layer between the aluminum plug and the silicon substrate.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,653 Page 10

Art Unit: 2815

Moravvej-Farshi et al. with Hong and lio et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form an adhesion/barrier layer between the plug and the silicon source. The motivation for doing so is to prevent junction spiking (see lio et al. column 10 lines 44-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Moravvej-Farshi et al. and Hong with lio et al. to obtain the invention of claim 102.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17, 98-103, 125, 126 and 128 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Drew Richards whose telephone number is (571) 272-1736. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2815

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

N. Drew Richards

AU 2815